

Inscribing triangles to compute area.

Proposition. Suppose $k, l \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{R}^m, \mathbf{R}^n)$ and $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbf{R}^m$. Then

$$\left| \left(\bigwedge_m k + l \right) (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m) - \left(\bigwedge_m l \right) (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m) \right| \leq \sum_{p=1}^m \binom{m}{p} \|l\|^{m-p} \|k\|^p |v_1| \dots |v_m|.$$

Proof. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda(p, m)$ let $\hat{\lambda} \in \Lambda(m-p, m)$ be such that $\mathbf{rng} \hat{\lambda} = \{1, \dots, m\} \sim \mathbf{rng} \lambda$ and let $\mathbf{s}(\lambda) \in \{\pm 1\}$ be such that

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m = \mathbf{s}(\lambda) v_\lambda \wedge v_{\hat{\lambda}}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigwedge_m k + l \right) (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m) &= \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(p, m)} \mathbf{s}(\lambda) \left(\bigwedge_{m-p} l \right) (v_\lambda) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_p k \right) (v_{\hat{\lambda}}) \\ &= \left(\bigwedge_m l \right) (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(p, m)} \mathbf{s}(\lambda) \left(\bigwedge_{m-p} l \right) (v_\lambda) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_p k \right) (v_{\hat{\lambda}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition. Whenever p is a positive integer and $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbf{R}^n$ we let

$$[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^p c_i a_i : 0 \leq c_i \leq 1, i = 0, 1, \dots, p, \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^p c_i = 1 \right\}$$

and call this set the p -simplex spanned by a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p .

Proposition. Suppose U is a convex open subset of \mathbf{R}^m ,

$$f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m,$$

f is continuously differentiable,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m &\in U, \\ S &= [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_f = [f(a_0), f(a_1), \dots, f(a_m)].$$

Then

$$\left| J_m f(a) \|S\|_m - \|S_f\|_m \right| \leq \left(\sum_{p=1}^m \binom{m}{p} \|\partial f(a)\|^{m-p} \epsilon^p \right) |a_1 - a_0| \dots |a_m - a_0|$$

where

$$\epsilon = \sup \{ \|\partial f(x) - \partial f(a)\| : x \in S \}.$$

Proof. Set

$$r(a, x) = \int_0^1 \partial f((1-t)a + tx) - \partial f(a) dt, \quad a, x \in U.$$

Note that

$$f(x) = f(a) + \partial f(a)(x - a) + r(a, x)(x - a), \quad a, x \in U.$$

Now apply the previous Proposition. □

Theorem. Suppose U is a convex open subset of \mathbf{R}^m ,

$$f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$$

f is continuously differentiable and K is a compact subset of U which is the union of a finite family of nonoverlapping m -simplices.

Then for any $\theta > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_K J_m f(x) dx - \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \|S_f\|_m \right| < \epsilon$$

whenever \mathcal{S} is a family of nonoverlapping m -simplices with union K satisfying

$$\text{diam } S < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\|S\|_m}{\text{diam } S^m} > \theta$$

and where, for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $S_f = [f(a_0), f(a_1), \dots, f(a_m)]$ if $S = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m]$

Proof. Combine the above with the fact that ∂f is uniformly continuous on K . \square

An example illustrating why the hypotheses in the previous Theorem are necessary. Let

$$f : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$$

be such that

$$f(\theta, z) = U(\theta) + z\mathbf{e}_3, \quad (\theta, z) \in \mathbf{R}^2,$$

where for $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ we have set

$$U(\theta) = (0, \cos \theta, \sin \theta) \in \mathbf{R}^3.$$

For $0 < h < \pi$ and $k > 0$ let $T_{h,k}$ be the triangle with vertices

$$f(-h, 0), f(0, k), f(h, 0).$$

The square of twice the area $T_{h,k}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & |(f(-h, 0) - f(0, k)) \wedge (f(h, 0) - f(0, k))|^2 \\ &= |U(h) \wedge U(-h) + (U(h) - U(-h)) \wedge k\mathbf{e}_3|^2 \\ &= |U(h) \wedge U(-h)|^2 + k^2 |U(h) - U(-h)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the twice the area of $T_{h,k}$ tends to $|U(h) \wedge U(-h)|$ as $k \downarrow 0$ and so the ratio of the area of $T_{k,h}$ to the area of the triangle with vertices $(-h, 0), (0, k), (h, 0)$ tends to infinity as $k \downarrow 0$.

For a triangle T in \mathbf{R}^2 we let T_f be the triangle in \mathbf{R}^3 whose vertices are the image under f of the vertices of T . If we were to define the area of $f[(-\pi, \pi) \times (0, 1)]$ in a fashion similar to the way the length of a curve is typically defined we get the wrong answer because

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} |T_f| : \mathcal{T} \text{ is a finite nonoverlapping family of triangles in } [-\pi, \pi] \times [0, 1] \right\} = \infty.$$

This situation is not remedied by requiring the diameters of the inscribed triangles to be small. The problem occurs when the ratio of the square of the diameter of a triangle is large compared to the area of the triangle.