

## The Gamma and Poisson distributions and the Poisson process.

### 1. THE BASIC SETUP.

We fix a positive number  $\lambda$  and a i.i.d. sequence

$$I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n, \dots$$

of random variables such that

$$(1) \quad P(I_1 > 0) = 1.$$

We let  $T_0 = 0$  and we let

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n I_i \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$

So if we think of  $I_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  as a *sequence of interarrival times* then  $T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , is the corresponding *sequence of arrival times*. Owing to (1) we find that

$$0 < T_1 < T_2 < \dots < T_n < \dots \quad \text{with probability 1.}$$

We define random variables

$$N_t, \quad t \geq 0$$

with values in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  by letting  $N_0 = 0$  and requiring that, when  $t > 0$ , we have  $P(N_t \notin \mathbb{N}) = 0$  and

$$\{N_t = n\} = \{T_n < t \leq T_{n+1}\} \quad \text{whenever } n \in \mathbb{N};$$

in other words,  $N_t$  is the number of arrivals before time  $t$ ; this is possible because of (1). Equivalently, when  $t > 0$ ,

$$\{N_t \geq n\} = \{T_n < t\} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The following Lemma will make everything work. The way you get anywhere in this business is to condition on  $T_1$ ; this amounts to starting over at  $t = T_1$ . Whatever that means.

**Lemma 1.1.** Suppose

- (i)  $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ;
- (ii)  $t_0 = 0, (t_1, \dots, t_N) \in (0, \infty)^N$  and

$$t_1 < \dots < t_N;$$

- (iii)  $(n_1, \dots, n_N) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ;
- (iv)  $m_i = \sum_{j=1}^i n_j$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ;
- (v)  $I \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$  is such that

$$m_i \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } i \leq I, \\ > 0 & \text{if } i > I \end{cases} \quad \text{whenever } i \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Then

$$(2) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^N \{N_{t_i} = n_i\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^N \{N_{t_i} - N_{t_{i-1}} = m_i\}$$

and, for any  $u \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & P(\cap_{i=1}^N \{N_{t_i} = m_i\} | T_1 = u) \\ &= \begin{cases} P(\cap_{i=I+1}^N \{N_{t_i-u} = m_i - 1\}) & \text{if } I < N \text{ and } t_I < u < t_{I+1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } I = N \text{ and } u < t_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \geq t_N. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.1.** Given  $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$  with  $0 = m_0 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_N$  we can set

$$n_i = m_i - m_{i-1} \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

*Proof.* (2) is evident. As to (3), we let

$$A = \{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : i \leq I\} \quad \text{and we let } B = \{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : i > I\};$$

we observe that for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $0 < u < \infty$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{N_{t_i} = m_i\} \cap \{T_1 = u\} \\ &= \{T_{m_i} < t_i \leq T_{m_i+1}\} \cap \{T = u\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \{0 < t_i < u\} \cap \{T = u\} & \text{if } i \in A, \\ \{T_{m_i} - T_1 < t_i - u \leq T_{m_i+1} - T_1\} \cap \{T_1 = u\} & \text{if } i \in B; \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } i \in A \text{ and } u \leq t_i, \\ \{T = u\} & \text{if } i \in A \text{ and } u > t_i, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } i \in B \text{ and } u \geq t_i, \\ \{T_{m_i} - T_1 < t_i - u \leq T_{m_i+1} - T_1\} \cap \{T_1 = u\} & \text{if } i \in B \text{ and } u < t_i. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if  $I < N$  and  $0 < u < \infty$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\cap_{i=1}^N \{N_{t_i} = m_i\} | T_1 = u) \\ &= \begin{cases} P(\cap_{i=I+1}^N \{T_{m_i-1} < t_i - u \leq T_{m_i}\}) & \text{if } u < t_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \geq t_1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and that if  $I = N$  and  $0 < u < \infty$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\cap_{i=1}^N \{N_{t_i} = m_i\} | T_1 = u) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \geq t_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } u < t_1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2. THE DEFINITIONS OF THE RELEVANT DISTRIBUTIONS.

**Definition 2.1.** Suppose  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ . We set

$$p_\lambda(n) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We say the random variable  $N$  has the **Poisson distribution with parameter**  $\lambda$  if

$$P(N = n) = p_\lambda(n) \quad \text{whenever } n \in \mathbb{N};$$

note that

$$P(N \notin \mathbb{N}) = 0 \quad \text{since } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} = e^\lambda.$$

Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . We say the random variable  $G$  has the **gamma distribution with parameters  $n$  and  $\lambda$**  if  $G$  is continuous and  $f_G = \Gamma_n$  where we have set

$$\Gamma_n(x) = \lambda \begin{cases} p_{\lambda x}(n-1) & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $\Gamma_n \geq 0$ ; we will show presently that the integral of  $\Gamma_n$  is 1.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ;  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ;  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent random variables each of which has the exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$  and  $G = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then  $G$  has the gamma distribution with parameters  $n$  and  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* We induct on  $n$ . It's immediate for  $n = 1$  since  $\Gamma_1$  is the pdf of a random variable with parameter  $\lambda$ . Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ; the Theorem for  $n$ ;  $X_1, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1}$  are independent random variables each of which has the exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ ; and  $G = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Since  $X_{n+1}, G$  are independent we have for any  $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{G+X_{n+1}}(x) &= f_{X_{n+1}} * f_G(x) \\ &= \int_0^x \lambda e^{-\lambda(x-y)} \left( \lambda \frac{(\lambda y)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \right) dy \\ &= \lambda^{n+1} e^{-\lambda x} \int_0^x \frac{y^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} dy \\ &= \lambda \frac{(\lambda x)^{(n+1)-1}}{((n+1)-1)!} \\ &= \Gamma_{n+1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $P(G + X_{n+1} < 0) = 0$  we are done. □

### 3. THE BASIC THEOREM.

The remainder of this article is devoted to the proof of the following Theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and

$$t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_N$$

the random variables

$$N_{t_1}, N_{t_2} - N_{t_1}, \dots, N_{t_N} - N_{t_{N-1}}$$

are independent.

(ii) For some  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $I_1$  is exponentially distributed with parameter  $\lambda$ .

Moreover, when these conditions hold,  $N_t - N_s$  is Poisson with parameter  $\lambda(t - s)$  whenever  $0 < s < t$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $N_t - N_s, N_s$  are independent whenever  $0 < s < t$ . Let  $f(s) = P(N_s = 0)$  for  $s > 0$ . Then

$$f(s+t) = P(N_t - N_s = 0, N_s = 0) = P(N_t = 0)P(N_s = 0) = f(s)f(t)$$

whenever  $s, t > 0$ . Since  $f(s) = P(I_1 > s)$  we find that  $f$  is continuous and decreasing and that  $\lim_{s \downarrow 0} f(s) = 1$ . It follows that  $f(s) = e^{-\lambda s}$  for  $s \in (0, \infty)$  so that  $I_1$  has the exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ .

Let

$$f(t, n) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \quad \text{for } t > 0 \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus the Theorem will be proved once we show that

$$(4) \quad P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N \{N_{t_i} = m_i\}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^N f(t_i - t_{i-1}, m_i - m_{i-1})$$

whenever  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $(t_1, \dots, t_N) \in (0, \infty)^N$ ,  $m_0 = 0$ ,  $(m_1, \dots, m_N) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,

$$t_1 < \dots < t_N \quad \text{and} \quad m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_N.$$

Indeed, if  $n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m_i = \sum_{j=1}^i n_j$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \{N_{t_1} = n_1, N_{t_2} - N_{t_1} = n_2, \dots, N_{t_N} - N_{t_{N-1}} = n_N\} \\ = \{N_{t_1} = m_1, N_{t_2} = m_2, \dots, N_{t_N} = m_N\}. \end{aligned}$$

We prove (4) by conditioning on  $T_1$ .

We begin by noting that (4) holds whenever  $m_i = 0$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

We begin by establishing (4) in case  $N = 1$ . (4) clearly holds when  $N = 1$  and  $m_1 = 0$ . Suppose  $m_1 > 0$  and (4) holds with  $N = 1$  and with  $m_1$  replaced by any smaller nonnegative integer. We have

$$\begin{aligned} P(N_{t_1} = m_1) &= \int_0^\infty P(N_{t_1} = m_1 | T_1 = u) f(u, 0) du \\ &= \int_0^{t_1} P(N_{t_1-u} = m_1 - 1) f(u, 0) du \\ &= \int_0^{t_1} f(t_1 - u, m_1 - 1) f(u, 0) du \\ &= \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-u)} \frac{(\lambda(t_1-u))^{m_1-1}}{(m_1-1)!} e^{-\lambda u} du \\ &= f(t_1, m_1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4) holds when  $N = 1$ .

Suppose  $N \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and (4) holds when  $N$  is replaced by any smaller positive integer. We induct on  $m_1$ .

In case  $m_1 = 0$  we find that (4) holds by making use of Lemma 1.1. Letting  $I = \max\{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : m_i = 0\} > 0$  we find that, in case  $I < N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(N_{t_1} = 0, N_{t_2} = m_2, \dots, N_{t_N} = m_N) \\
&= \int_0^\infty P(N_{t_1} = 0, N_{t_2} = m_2, \dots, N_{t_N} = m_N | T_1 = u) f(u, 0) du \\
&= \int_{t_I}^{t_{I+1}} P(\cap_{i=I+1}^N \{N_{t_i-u} = m_i - 1\}) f(u, 0) du \\
&= \prod_{i=I+1 < i \leq N} f(t_i - t_{i-1}, m_i - m_{i-1}) \int_{t_I}^{t_{I+1}} f(t_{I+1} - u, m_{I+1} - 1) f(u, 0) du \\
&= \prod_{I+1 < I \leq N} f(t_i - t_{i-1}, m_i - m_{i-1}) f(t_{I+1}, m_{I+1}) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^N f(t_i - t_{i-1}, m_i - m_{i-1})
\end{aligned}$$

and that, in case  $I = N$ ,  $m_i = 0$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(N_{t_1} = 0, N_{t_2} = 0, \dots, N_{t_N} = 0) \\
&= P(N_{t_N} = 0) \\
&= f(t_N - t_0, 0) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^N f(t_i - t_{i-1}, m_i - m_{i-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

So suppose  $m_1 > 0$  and (4) holds when  $m_1$  is replaced by any nonnegative integer less than  $m_1$ . Using Lemma 1.1 with  $I$  there equal 0 we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(N_{t_1} = m_1, N_{t_2} = m_2, \dots, N_{t_N} = m_N) \\
&= \int_0^\infty P(N_{t_1} = m_1, N_{t_2} = m_2, \dots, N_{t_N} = m_N | T_1 = u) f(u, 0) du \\
&= \int_0^{t_1} P(N_{t_1-u} = m_1 - 1, N_{t_2-u} = m_2 - 1, \dots, N_{t_N-u} = m_N - 1) f(u, 0) du \\
&= \prod_{i=2}^N f(t_i - t_{i-1}, m_i - m_{i-1}) \int_0^{t_1} f(t_1 - u, m_1 - 1) f(u, 0) du \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^N f(t_i - t_{i-1}, m_i - m_{i-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

□