

The Fredholm Alternative Theorem (FAT)

Either

(A) The homogeneous adjoint problem

$$L^*\psi_0 = 0 \quad B_a^*\psi_0 = 0 \quad B_b^*\psi_0 = 0 \quad (1)$$

has a **nontrivial** solution.**Xor**¹

(B) The inhomogeneous problem

$$Lu = f(x) \quad B_a u = c \quad B_b u = d \quad (2)$$

has a **unique** solution $u(x)$ for any choices of $f(x), c, d$.

(a) The homogeneous adjoint problem $L^*\psi = 0$ corresponds to the adjoint eigenvalue problem $L^*\psi = -\lambda\psi$ for a zero eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = 0$.

(b) The alternative, case (B), automatically guarantees existence and uniqueness of the solution of (2) if (A) is not true.

The Fredholm alternative is usually used in practice as a quick way to determine what to expect from solving problem (2) before working through the whole expansion.

It is used in this way:

- If L (and hence L^*) has no zero eigenvalue, then the eigenfunction expansion process will work and will produce a unique solution with no difficulties – **Case (B)**, via

$$B_k - c_k \lambda_k \langle \phi_k, \psi_k \rangle = \langle f, \psi_k \rangle \quad \xrightarrow{\forall k} \quad \{c_k\} \quad \rightarrow \quad u(x) = \sum_k c_k \phi_k(x) \quad (3)$$

- If **Case (A)** holds, then there are two sub-cases for what happens to the solution of (2) based on the $\lambda_0 = 0$ equation: $B_0 - 0 = \langle f, \psi_0 \rangle$. This equation is called the **solvability condition**.

(A₁) **No solution of (2) exists** if the solvability equation leads to a contradiction.

(A₂) **The solution of (2) is non-unique** if the solvability equation is consistent. Then solutions exist, but since the value of the c_0 coefficient does not get pinned down, any value may be selected for this coefficient. In contrast, the values for the c_k for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are uniquely determined, as in (3). So:

$$\text{Solutions of (2) are} \quad u(x) = c_0 \phi_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \phi_k(x) \quad \text{with any value for } c_0. \quad (4)$$

- To determine between cases (A) vs. (B) check for a zero eigenvalue: $\lambda = 0?$
- To determine between cases (A₁) vs. (A₂), you must find the $\lambda_0 = 0$ adjoint eigenfunction $\psi_0(x)$: both the forcing $\langle f, \psi_0 \rangle$ and the boundary terms B_0 involve ψ_0 .

The Fredholm alternative is universal – it works the same way for matrices, ODE boundary value problems (Sturm-Liouville or General), integral equations, ..., everything*

¹XOR: eXclusive OR - one case or the other exclusively, never both together, never neither.