October 21, 2022

**Richard Hain** 

## Math 611 Rough Notes on Group Actions

Suppose that G is a Hausdorff topological group, that K is a compact subgroup of G and that  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of G. We will show that the action of  $\Gamma$  on G/K by left multiplication is properly discontinuous.

**1.**  $\Gamma$  is closed in G: since  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subset of G, there is a neighbourhood U of the identity e in G such that  $\Gamma \cap U = \{e\}$ . Since the map  $\phi : G \times G \to G$  defined by  $\phi(g, h) = gh^{-1}$  is continuous, and takes (e, e) to e, there is a neighbourhood V of e in G such that  $\phi(V \times V) \subset U$ .

To show that  $\Gamma$  is closed in G, suppose that  $g \in G - \Gamma$ . Then gV is a neighbourhood of g in G. If  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma \cap gV$ , then there are  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ such that  $\gamma_j = gv_j$ . But then  $\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2 = v_1^{-1}v_2 \in V^{-1}V \subset U$ , so that  $\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2 \in \Gamma \cap U = \{1\}$  and  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ . Thus  $|\Gamma \cap gV| \leq 1$  and  $gV - (gV \cap \Gamma)$ is an open neighbourhood of g in G.

This argument also shows that  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously (and freely) on G. First observe that if  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ , then

$$\gamma_1 V \cap \gamma_2 V \neq \emptyset$$

implies that there are  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  such that  $\gamma_1 v_1 = \gamma_2 v_2$ . That is,  $\gamma_2^{-1} \gamma_1 = v_2 v_1^{-1} \in U$ . This implies that  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ .

Now suppose that  $g \in G$ . Then Vg is a neighbourhood of g. If  $\gamma Vg \cap Vg$  is non-empty, then so is  $\gamma V \cap V$ , which implies that  $\gamma = 1$ .

**2.** *K*-saturated subsets of *G*: The subgroup *K* acts on *G* by right multiplication. For a subset *X* of *G*, set

$$XK := \bigcup_{x \in X} xK = \{xk : x \in X, \ k \in K\}.$$

A subset X of G is K-saturated if X = XK. Equivalently, it is Ksaturated if it is a union of K-orbits. Let  $p : G \to G/K$  be the projection. Note that  $A \mapsto p^{-1}(A)$  defines a 1-1 correspondence between (open, closed, arbitrary, ...) subsets of G/K and (open, closed, arbitrary, ...) K-saturated subsets of G.

Since K is compact, every neighbourhood W of K in G contains a Ksaturated neighbourhood. To see this, suppose that  $k \in K$ . Since the multiplication map  $G \times K \to G$  is continuous, and since  $(1, k) \mapsto k$ , there is an open neighbourhood  $U_k \times N_k$  of (1, k) in  $G \times K$  such that  $U_k N_k \subset W$ . Since K is compact, the open covering  $\{N_k : k \in K\}$  of K has a finite subcovering  $N_{k_1}, \ldots, N_{k_n}$ . Then  $U := \bigcap_{j=1}^n U_{k_j}$  is an open neighbourhood of e in G and UK is a K-saturated open neighbourhood of K in G that is contained in W.

Note that the saturated open neighbourhood UK of K in G corresponds to an open neighbourhood of the identity coset in G/K.

**3.**  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously on G/K: Since  $\Gamma$  is closed in G (by the first part),  $G - (\Gamma - \Gamma \cap K)$  is an open neighbourhood of K in G. Fix a K-saturated neighbourhood U of K that is contained in  $G - (\Gamma - \Gamma \cap K)$ . It exists by the second part and has the property that  $\Gamma \cap U = \Gamma \cap K$ . We first show that K has a saturated open neighbourhood V such that if  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ , then  $v_1 v_2^{-1} \in U$ . For this we use the map  $\phi : G \times G \to G$  that takes (g, h) to  $gh^{-1}$ . Since  $\phi$  is continuous,  $\phi^{-1}(U)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $K \times K$  in  $G \times G$ . By a compactness argument similar to the one in the previous paragraph, there is an open neighbourhood W of  $e \in G$  and an open neighbourhood N of K in G such that

$$\phi(N \times W) = NW^{-1} \subseteq U.$$

By the second part, we may assume that N is K-saturated, so that

$$\phi(N \times Wk) = N(Wk)^{-1} = Nk^{-1}W^{-1} = NW^{-1} \subseteq U$$

for all  $k \in K$ . In other words,  $N \times Wk \subseteq \phi^{-1}(U)$  for all  $k \in K$ .

Set  $V = N \cap WK$ . This is the intersection of two K-saturated sets and is therefore a K-saturated neighbourhood of K in G. The calculation above implies that

$$\phi(V \times V) \subseteq \phi(N \times W) \subset U.$$

as required.

Now, if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $\gamma V \cap V$  is non-empty implies that there are  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  such that  $\gamma v_1 = v_2$ , so that  $\gamma = v_2 v_1^{-1} \in U$ . This implies that  $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap U = \Gamma \cap K$ . Thus

$$\gamma V \cap V \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \gamma \overline{e} = \overline{e} \text{ in } G/K.$$

The general case follows by replacing K by  $gKg^{-1}$ . Take V to be a  $gKg^{-1}$ -saturated neighbourhood of  $gKg^{-1}$  in G such that  $VV^{-1} \cap \Gamma = \Gamma \cap gKg^{-1}$ , which is the stabilizer of gK in  $\Gamma$ . Then Vg is a K-saturated neighbourhood of gK in G and

$$\gamma Vg \cap Vg \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \gamma V \cap V \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \gamma \in \Gamma \cap gKg^{-1}.$$