## Integration of a scalar function over a submanifold.

Suppose n is a positive integer, V is an n-dimensional inner product space,  $0 \le m < n$  and  $M \in \mathbf{M}_m(V)$ .

**Definition.** We say  $(T, \phi)$  is a smooth local parameter for M if

- (1) T is an open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^m$ ;
- (2)  $\phi: T \to V$  is smooth;
- (3)  $\operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} \phi \subset M$ ;
- (4)  $\phi$  is univalent;
- (5)  $\dim \operatorname{rng} \partial \phi(t) = m$  whenever  $t \in T$ .

If  $(T, \phi)$  is a smooth local parameter for M we define the smooth function

$$J_m\phi: T \to (0,\infty),$$

the *m*-Jacobian of  $\phi$ , by letting

$$J_m \phi(t) = \sqrt{\det \partial \phi(t)^* \circ \partial \phi(t)} \quad \text{whenever } t \in T.$$

We say a function f on M with values in a topological space is **Lebesgue measurable** if  $f \circ \phi$  is Lebesgue measurable whenever  $(T, \phi)$  is a local coordinate for M.

We let

 $\mathcal{L}_{M}^{+}$ 

be the family of Lebesgue measurable functions.

**Remark.** Suppose  $a \in M$ . Then there are an open subset U of V and  $(U, \Phi, \mathbf{U}^n)$  such that  $a \in U$ ,  $\Phi(a) = 0$  and  $\Phi[M \cap U] = \mathbf{U}^{m,n}$ . It follows that  $(\mathbf{U}^{m,n}, \Phi^{-1} \circ i_{m,n})$  is a local parameter for M whose range contains a.

We say  $(W, \psi)$  is a smooth local coordinate for M if

- (1) W is a subset of M which is open relative to V;
- (2)  $\psi: W \to \mathbf{R}^m;$
- (3)  $(\psi[W], \psi^{-1})$  is a smooth local parameter for M.

## Theorem. Suppose

- (1)  $(T, \phi)$  is a smooth local parameter for M;
- (2) U is an open subset of V and  $\phi(t) \in U$ ;
- (3)  $(U, \Phi, \mathbf{U}^n) \in \mathbf{Diffeo}_n, \Phi(\phi(t)) = 0 \text{ and } \Phi[M \cap U] = \mathbf{U}^{m,n}.$

Then

(4) 
$$p_{m,n} \circ \Phi \circ \phi \in \mathbf{Diffeo}_m.$$

**Proof.** Let  $F = p_{m,n} \circ \Phi \circ \phi$ . Then  $\operatorname{dmn} F = T \cap \phi^{-1}[U]$  which is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , F is smooth and F is univalent. Moreover, by the Chain Rule, we have that  $\operatorname{rng} \partial f(u) = \mathbb{R}^m$  whenever  $u \in \operatorname{dmn} F$ . The assertion to be proved now follows from a Corollary to the Inverse Function Theorem.  $\Box$ 

Suppose  $(U, \psi)$  is a smooth local coordinate for M. then  $(\psi[U], \psi^{-1})$  is a smooth local Corollary. parameter for M.

**Proof.** We have only to verify that  $\psi[U]$  is open relative to M and this follows directly from the preceding Proposition.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary.** Suppose  $(T_i, \phi_i)$ , i = 1, 2, are smooth local parameters for M

$$(T_1 \cap \phi_1^{-1} \circ \phi_2[T_2], \phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1, T_2 \cap \phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1[T_1]) \in \mathbf{Diffeo}_m.$$

**Proof.** It is evident that the  $T_1 \cap \phi_1^{-1}[T_2]$  and  $T_2 \cap \phi_2^{-1}[T_2]$  are open sets of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  which are the domain and range of  $\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1$ . It is evident that  $\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1$  is a univalent function. Suppose  $t_1 \in T_1 \cap \phi_1^{-1} \circ \phi_2[T_2]$ . Let  $(U, \Phi, \mathbf{U}^n)$  be such that U is an open subsets of V,  $\phi_1(t_1) \in U$ ,  $(U, \Phi, \mathbf{U}^n) \in \mathbf{Diffeo}_n, \Phi(\phi_1(t_1)) = 0$  and  $\Phi[M \cap U] = \mathbf{U}^{m,n}$ . We have shown above that

$$p_{m,n} \circ \Phi \circ \phi_i \in \mathbf{Diffeo}_m, \ i = 1, 2$$

Since

$$(\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1) | \phi_1^{-1} [U \cap \phi_2 [T_2]] = (p_{m,n} \circ \Phi \circ \phi_2)^{-1} \circ (p_{m,n} \circ \Phi \circ \phi_1)$$

and since  $\phi_1^{-1}[U \cap \phi_2[T_2]]$  is an open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^m$  containing  $t_1$  the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma.** Suppose  $(T_i, \phi_i)$ , i = 1, 2, are local parameters for  $M, f \in \mathcal{L}_M^+$  and

$$f(a) = 0$$
 whenever  $a \in \phi_1[T_1] \cap \phi_1[T_2]$ .

Then

$$\int_{T_1} f \circ \phi_1 J_m \phi_1 = \int_{T_2} f \circ \phi_2 J_m \phi_2$$

**Proof.** Replacing  $T_1$  with  $\phi_1^{-1}[T_2]$  and  $T_2$  by  $\phi_2^{-1}[T_1]$  we may assume that  $\phi_1[T_1] = \phi_2[T_2]$ . From the preceding work we have that  $(T_1, \phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1, T_2) \in \mathbf{Diffeo}_m$ . From the Change of Variables Formula for Multiple Intgrals we infer that

$$\int_{T_2} f \circ \phi_2 J_m \phi_2 = \int_{T_1} f \circ \phi_2 \circ (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1) J_m \phi_2 \circ (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1) |\det \partial(\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1)|$$

The Lemma will follow if we can show that

$$J_m\phi_1 = (J_m\phi_2) \circ (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1) |\det \partial(\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1).$$

Suppose  $t_1 \in T_1$  and let  $t_2 = \phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1(t_1) \in T_2$ . By the Chain Rule we have

$$\partial \phi_1(t_1) = \partial \phi_2(t_2) \circ \partial (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1)(t_1)$$

so that

$$\partial \phi_1(t_1)^* = \partial (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1)(t_1)^* \circ \partial \phi_2(t_2)^*.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \phi_1(t_1)^* &\circ \partial \phi_1(t_1) \\ &= \left( \partial (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1)(t_1)^* \circ \partial \phi_2(t_2)^* \right) \circ \left( \partial \phi_2(t_2) \circ \partial (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1)(t_1) \right) \\ &= \partial (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1)(t_1)^* \circ \left( \partial \phi_2(t_2)^* \circ \partial \phi_2(t_2) \right) \circ \partial (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1)(t_1) \end{aligned}$$

so that, by the Product Rule for Determinants and the fact that

det  $L^* = \det L$  whenever  $L \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{R}^m; \mathbf{R}^m)$ 

we find that

$$J_m \phi_1(t_1) = |\det \partial (\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1)(t_1)| J_m \phi_2(t_2)$$

**Lemma.** There is a countable family  $\mathcal{T}$  of smooth local parameters for M such that

$$M = \bigcup \{T : (T, \phi) \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

**Proof.** Exercise for the reader.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma.** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{L}_M^+$  and

- (1)  $(S_{\mu}, \alpha), \mu = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ , are smooth local parameters for M;
- (2)  $\cup_{\mu=1}^{\infty}$ **rng**  $\alpha_{\mu} = M$ ;
- (3)  $A_1 = \operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} \alpha_1$  and  $A_\mu = \operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} \alpha_\nu \sim \cup_{\gamma < \mu} \operatorname{\mathbf{rng}} \alpha_\gamma$  if mu > 1;
- (4)

$$I = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_{\mu}} (f \, 1_{A_{\mu}}) \circ \alpha_{\mu} J_m \alpha_{\mu};$$

 $(5)(T_{\nu},\beta_{\nu}), \nu = 1,2,3,\ldots$ , are smooth local parameters for M;

(6)  $\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{rng} \beta_{\nu} = M;$ (7)  $B_1 = \operatorname{rng} \beta_1$  and  $B_{\nu} = \operatorname{rng} \beta_{\nu} \sim \bigcup_{\delta < \nu} \operatorname{rng} \beta_{\delta};$ (8)

$$J = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int_{T_{\nu}} (f \, \mathbf{1}_{B_{\nu}}) \circ \beta_{\nu} J_m \beta_{\nu}$$

Then

$$I = J$$

**Proof.** We have

$$1_M = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_{\mu}}$$
 and  $1_N = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 1_{B_{\nu}}$ .

Thus

$$I = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_{\mu}} (f \, 1_{A_{\mu}} 1_{B_{\nu}}) \, J_m \alpha_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad J = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \int_{T_{\nu}} (f \, 1_{A_{\mu}} 1_{B_{\nu}}) \circ \beta_{\nu} J_m \beta_{\nu}.$$

(Why?) That I = J now follows from a previous Proposition.  $\Box$ 

Theorem. There is one and only one function

$$I_M^+:\mathcal{L}_M^+\to [0,\infty]$$

such that

(1) 
$$I_M^+(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} f_{\nu}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} I_M^+(f_{\nu})$$
 whenever  $f$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{L}_M^+$ ;  
(2)  $I_M^+(f) = \int_T f \circ \phi J_m \phi$  whenever  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $f(a) = 0$  whenever  $a \notin \mathbf{rng} \phi$ .

**Proof.** Combine the preceding two Lemmas.  $\Box$ 

## **Definition.** We let

 $\mathcal{L}_M$ 

be the vector space of Lebesgue measurable function  $f: M \to \mathbf{R}$  such that  $I_M^+(|f|) < \infty$ . For each  $f \in \mathcal{L}_M$  we let

$$I_M(f) = I_M^+(f^+) - I_M^+(f^-).$$